

Appendix K

Farmland Conversion Impact Rating Form

# FARMLAND CONVERSION IMPACT RATING

**PART I** (To be completed by Federal Agency)

Date Of Land Evaluation Request 9/8/22

Name Of Project	Koi Nation Shiloh Resort and Casino	Federal Agency Involved	Bureau of Indian Affairs
Proposed Land Use	Resort and Casino Facility	County And State	Sonoma, California

**PART II** (To be completed by NRCS)

Date Request Received By NRCS 9/8/2022

Does the site contain prime, unique, statewide or local important farmland? (If no, the FPPA does not apply -- do not complete additional parts of this form).	Yes	Acres Irrigated	86,433	Average Farm Size	158
Major Crop(s) Winegrapes, milk, livestock, and poultry	Farmable Land In Govt. Jurisdiction Acres: 329,216 % 32.1	Amount Of Farmland As Defined in FPPA Acres: 193,033	18.8 %		
Name Of Land Evaluation System Used CA Revised Storie Index	Name Of Local Site Assessment System N/A	Date Land Evaluation Returned By NRCS 9/12/2022			

**PART III** (To be completed by Federal Agency)

	Site A	Site B	Site C	Site D
A. Total Acres To Be Converted Directly	52.78	45.33	24.02	
B. Total Acres To Be Converted Indirectly				
C. Total Acres In Site	68.6	68.6	68.6	

**PART IV** (To be completed by NRCS) Land Evaluation Information

A. Total Acres Prime And Unique Farmland	10.9	6.20	4	
B. Total Acres Statewide And Local Important Farmland	36.0	36	20.8	
C. Percentage Of Farmland In County Or Local Govt. Unit To Be Converted	0.0243	0.0219	0.0128	
D. Percentage Of Farmland In Govt. Jurisdiction With Same Or Higher Relative Value	16.0	16.22	6.47	

**PART V** (To be completed by NRCS) Land Evaluation Criterion

Relative Value Of Farmland To Be Converted (Scale of 0 to 100 Points)	67	66	80	
---	----	----	----	--

**PART VI** (To be completed by Federal Agency)

Site Assessment Criteria (These criteria are explained in 7 CFR 658.5(b))	Maximum Points			
1. Area In Nonurban Use	8	8	8	
2. Perimeter In Nonurban Use	4	4	4	
3. Percent Of Site Being Farmed	19	19	19	
4. Protection Provided By State And Local Government	20	20	20	
5. Distance From Urban Builtup Area	0	0	0	
6. Distance To Urban Support Services	0	0	0	
7. Size Of Present Farm Unit Compared To Average	0	0	0	
8. Creation Of Nonfarmable Farmland	0	0	0	
9. Availability Of Farm Support Services	5	5	5	
10. On-Farm Investments	20	20	20	
11. Effects Of Conversion On Farm Support Services	0	0	0	
12. Compatibility With Existing Agricultural Use	1	1	1	
<b>TOTAL SITE ASSESSMENT POINTS</b>	<b>160</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>77</b>

**PART VII** (To be completed by Federal Agency)

Relative Value Of Farmland (From Part V)	100	67	66	80
Total Site Assessment (From Part VI above or a local site assessment)	160	77	77	77
<b>TOTAL POINTS (Total of above 2 lines)</b>	<b>260</b>	<b>144</b>	<b>143</b>	<b>157</b>

Site Selected:	Date Of Selection	Was A Local Site Assessment Used?
		Yes No X

Reason For Selection: